arXiv:0810.2926v1 [math.AG] 16 Oct 2008

LIE-RINEHART COHOMOLOGY AND INTEGRABLE CONNECTIONS ON MODULES OF RANK ONE

EIVIND ERIKSEN AND TROND STØLEN GUSTAVSEN

Abstract. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, let R be a commutative k-algebra, and let M be a torsion free R-module of rank one with a connection ∇. We consider the Lie-Rinehart cohomology with values in $\mathrm{End}_R(M)$ with its induced connection, and give an interpretation of this cohomology in terms of the integrable connections on M. When R is an isolated singularity of dimension $d \geq 2$, we relate the Lie-Rinehart cohomology to the topological cohomology of the link of the singularity, and when R is a quasi-homogenous hypersurface of dimension two, we give a complete computation of the cohomology.

1. Introduction

Rinehart introduced Lie-Rinehart cohomology in Rinehart [15] as a generalization of de Rham cohomology. Later, Lie-Rinehart cohomology has been considered by several authors, see for instance Huebschmann [11] and Casas, Ladra and Pirashvili [3]. In singularity theory, Huang, Luk and Yau [10] studied the so-called punctured de Rham cohomology, and although it is not mentioned in their paper, it turns out that this cohomology coincides with the Lie-Rinehart cohomology.

The purpose of this paper is to study the Lie Rinehart cohomology when R is a representative of an isolated singularity, and to interpret this cohomology in terms of integrable connections on R-modules of rank one. The emphasis is on explicit results and examples.

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, let R be a commutative k-algebra and let M be a torsion free R-module of rank one with a (not necessarily integrable) connection $\nabla : \mathrm{Der}_k(R) \to \mathrm{End}_k(M)$. We consider the Lie-Rinehart cohomology $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), \mathrm{End}_R(M))$ where $\mathrm{End}_R(M)$ has the (integrable) connection induced by ∇. We give the following interpretation of the Lie-Rinehart cohomology in terms of integrable connections:

Theorem A. *Let R be a complete reduced local k-algebra and let M be a torsion free R-module of rank one. Then we have:*

1. *There is a canonical obstruction class* $\mathrm{ic}(M) \in \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), \mathrm{End}_R(M))$ *which vanishes if and only if there is an integrable connection on M.*
2. *If* $\mathrm{ic}(M)$ *vanishes, then* $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), \mathrm{End}_R(M))$ *is the moduli space of integrable connections on M up to equivalence.*

Using a spectral sequence, we relate $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), \mathrm{End}_R(M))$ to the topological cohomology of the link of the singularity:

Date: October 16, 2008.

Theorem B. *Let R be a finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay domain over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ with a unique isolated singularity $x \in X = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Then* $\operatorname{End}_R(M) \cong R$ and *there is a natural exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R), R) \to \mathrm{H}^1(U_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C}) \to E_2^{0,1} \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R), R) \to \mathrm{H}^2(U_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C})$$

where $E_2^{0,1} = \ker(\mathrm{H}^1(U, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \mathrm{H}^1(U, \Omega^1_X))$ *and* $U = X \setminus \{x\}$. *Moreover, if* $d \geq 3$, *then* $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R), R) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(U_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C})$ *and* $\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R), R) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(U_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C})$.

We give an example to show that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R), R)$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(U_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C})$ are not in general isomorphic in dimension $d = 2$. To further clarify the case $d = 2$, we show the following result:

Theorem C. *Let $R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(f)$ be an integral quasi-homogenous surface singularity. The grading on R induces a grading on* $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$, *and*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)_0 \cong R_0 = k \\
&\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) = \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)_0 \cong R_{d-d_1-d_2-d_3} \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \\
&\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 3,
\end{aligned}$$

as graded k-vector space, where $d_i = \deg x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

In particular, we have that $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) \cong R_{d-1-1-1} = R_{d-3}$ when R is the cone over a plane curve, so that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$$

is the genus of the curve $V(f)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$ for $i = 1, 2$.

In Huang, Luk and Yau [10], the punctured local de Rham cohomology $H^i_h(V, x)$ of a germ of a complex analytic space was studied. When (V, x) is a hypersurface singularity of dimension $d \geq 2$ with local ring R, $H^i_h(V, x)$ coincides with $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R), R)$ for $i \geq 1$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R), R) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d-2, \text{ and} \\
&\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^d_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R), R) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^{d-1}_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R), R) = \mu - \tau
\end{aligned}$$

where μ is the Milnor number and τ is the Tjurina number of the singularity. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R), R) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R), R)$, when R is a quasi-homogenous surface, and this is in agreement with our Theorem C.

We also prove that if R is a curve, then any connection on a torsion free R-module (of any rank) is integrable. Moreover, if $R = k[\Gamma]$ is an affine monomial curve and M is a graded torsion free R-module of rank one with a connection ∇, then $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), M, \nabla) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.

2. Basic definitions

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, and let R be a commutative k-algebra. A *Lie-Rinehart algebra* of R/k is a pair (g, τ), where g is an R-module and a k-Lie algebra, and $\tau : \mathsf{g} \to \mathrm{Der}_k(R)$ is a morphism of R-modules and k-Lie algebras, such that

$$[D, rD'] = r[D, D'] + \tau_D(r)\, D'$$

for all $D, D' \in \mathsf{g}$ and all $r \in R$, see Rinehart [15]. A Lie-Rinehart algebra is the algebraic analogue of a *Lie algebroid*, and it is also known as a Lie pseudo-algebra or a Lie-Cartan pair.

When g is a subset of $\mathrm{Der}_k(R)$ and $\tau : \mathsf{g} \to \mathrm{Der}_k(R)$ is the inclusion map, the pair (g, τ) is a Lie-Rinehart algebra if and only if g is closed under the R-module and k-Lie algebra structures of $\mathrm{Der}_k(R)$. We are mainly interested in Lie-Rinehart algebras of this type, and we will usually omit τ from the notation.

Let g be a Lie-Rinehart algebra. For any R-module M, we define a g-*connection* on M to be an R-linear map $\nabla : \mathsf{g} \to \mathrm{End}_k(M)$ such that

$$\nabla_D(rm) = r\nabla_D(m) + D(r)\ m \tag{2.1}$$

for all $D \in \mathsf{g},\ r \in R,\ m \in M$. A *connection* on M is a g-connection on M with $\mathsf{g} = \mathrm{Der}_k(R)$.

If ∇ is a g-connection on M, then any g-connection on M is given by $\nabla + P$, where $P \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathsf{g}, \mathrm{End}_R(M))$. The linear maps in $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathsf{g}, \mathrm{End}_R(M))$ are called *potentials.*

Let ∇ be a g-connection on M. We define the *curvature* of ∇ to be the R-linear map $K_\nabla : \mathsf{g} \wedge \mathsf{g} \to \mathrm{End}_R(M)$ given by

$$K_\nabla(D \wedge D') = [\nabla_D, \nabla_{D'}] - \nabla_{[D,D']}$$

for all $D, D' \in \mathsf{g}$. We say that ∇ is an *integrable* g-*connection* if $K_\nabla = 0$.

Let g be a Lie-Rinehart algebra of R/k, and let (M, ∇) be an R-module with an integrable g-connection. Define

$$\mathrm{C}^n_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, M, \nabla) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(\wedge^n_R \mathsf{g}, M) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

and define

$$d^n : \mathrm{C}^n_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, M, \nabla) \to \mathrm{C}^{n+1}_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, M, \nabla)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} d^n(\xi)(D_0 \wedge \dots \wedge D_n) = {} & \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \nabla_{D_i}(\xi(D_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{D}_i \wedge \dots \wedge D_n)) + \\ & \sum_{0 \leq j < k \leq n} (-1)^{j+k} \xi([D_j, D_k] \wedge D_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{D}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{D}_k \wedge \dots \wedge D_n) \end{aligned}$$

Since $K_\nabla = 0$, one verifies that $\mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, M, \nabla)$ is a complex of k-vector spaces; notice that the maps d^n are not R-linear. The *Lie-Rinehart cohomology* $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, M, \nabla)$ is defined to be the cohomology of the complex $\mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, M, \nabla)$, see Rinehart [15].

The Lie-Rinehart cohomology is also referred to as the Rinehart cohomology and as Chevalley-Hochschild cohomology. Note that the definition of the Lie-Rinehart cohomology generalizes the definition of Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology (when $R = k$) and deRham cohomology (when R is regular and $\mathsf{g} = \mathrm{Der}_k(R)$). For further properties of Lie-Rinehart cohomology, see Huebschmann [11] and Casas, Ladra and Pirashvili [3].

3. Connections and cohomology

Assume that R is a reduced noetherian k-algebra, and that M is a rank one torsion free finitely generated R-module. In this section, we relate the set of integrable connections on M to Lie-Rinehart cohomology.

Let $S \subset R$ be the set of regular elements, and let $Q = Q(R) = S^{-1}R$ be the total ring of fractions. Then by assumption, $M \to M \otimes_R Q$ is injective and $M \otimes_R Q$ is a free Q-module of rank one. Moreover, fixing an embedding $M \subseteq Q$, we identify $\overline{R} := \operatorname{End}_R(M)$ as $\{\psi \in Q : \psi M \subseteq M\}$. We view the R-algebra $\overline{R}$ as a *commutative* extension of R with $R \subseteq \overline{R} \subseteq Q$.

Let g be a Lie-Rinehart algebra and assume that there is a g-connection ∇ on M. Then there is an induced g-connection $\overline{\nabla}$ on $\overline{R} = \operatorname{End}_R(M)$, given by $\overline{\nabla}_D(\phi) = \nabla_D\,\phi - \phi\nabla_D$ for $D \in \mathsf{g}$ and $\phi \in \operatorname{End}_R(M)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let ∇ be a (not necessesarly integrable) g-connection on M.*

1. *The induced g-connection $\overline{\nabla} : \mathsf{g} \to \operatorname{End}_k(\overline{R})$ is given by $\overline{\nabla}_D(\phi) = D(\phi)$ for $\phi \in \overline{R}$, where ϕ is identified with an element in Q and D is extended to Q. In particular, $\overline{\nabla}$ is an integrable connection that is independent of ∇.*
2. *If R is normal, then $\overline{R} = R$, and $\overline{\nabla}$ is the action $\tau : \mathsf{g} \to \operatorname{Der}_k(R)$.*

Proof. We first prove (1). An element $D \in \mathsf{g}$ has a lifting to a derivation on Q (which we also denote by D). For any connection ∇ on M, we may consider ∇_D and D as maps from $M \subseteq Q$ into Q. Then $\nabla_D - D$ is in $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, Q)$, so that $\nabla_D = D + \psi_D$ for some $\psi_D \in Q$. A calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\nabla}_D(\phi) &= (\nabla_D\phi - \phi\nabla_D) = (D + \psi_D)\phi - \phi(D + \psi_D)\\ &= D\phi - \phi D = D(\phi)\end{aligned}$$

where we consider ϕ as an element in Q. In other words, g acts on $\overline{R}$ through $\overline{\nabla}$ by extending the action of g to Q.

To prove (2), note that $\overline{R} \subseteq Q$ is integral over R since $\overline{R}$ is a finitely generated R-module. By assumtion, R is normal, hence $R = \overline{R}$. □

With assumptions as above and with $\overline{\nabla}$ as in the proposition, we will consider the Lie-Rinehart cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, \overline{R}) = \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, \overline{R}, \overline{\nabla})$ of $(\overline{R}, \overline{\nabla})$. We shall give an interpretation of these cohomology groups for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$:

Proposition 3.2. *If M admits a g-connection, then there is a canonical class*

$$\mathrm{ic}(M) \in \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, \overline{R})$$

called the integrability class, such that $\mathrm{ic}(M) = 0$ if and only if M admits an integrable g-connection.

Proof. Let ∇ be a g-connection on M and let $\overline{\nabla}$ be the induced g-connection on $\overline{R} = \operatorname{End}_R(M)$. It follows from the Bianchi identity

$$\begin{aligned}(d^2(K_\nabla))(D_1 \wedge D_2 \wedge D_3) &= \overline{\nabla}_{D_1} K_\nabla(D_2 \wedge D_3) - \overline{\nabla}_{D_2} K_\nabla(D_1 \wedge D_3)\\ &\quad + \overline{\nabla}_{D_3} K_\nabla(D_1 \wedge D_2) - K_\nabla([D_1, D_2] \wedge D_3)\\ &\quad + K_\nabla([D_1, D_3] \wedge D_2) - K_\nabla([D_2, D_3] \wedge D_1) = 0\end{aligned}$$

that K_∇ is a 2-cocycle in the Rinehart complex $\mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, \overline{R})$. We define $\mathrm{ic}(M) = [K_\nabla] \in \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, \overline{R})$, and see that $\mathrm{ic}(M) = 0$ if and only if $K_\nabla = d^1(\tau)$ for some potential $\tau \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, \overline{R})$. A calculation shows that this condition holds if and only if $\nabla - \tau$ is an integrable g-connection on M, since $K_{\nabla'} = K_\nabla + d^1(P)$ when $\nabla' = \nabla + P$. □

Definition 3.3. Let ∇ and ∇' be two g-connections on M. We will say that ∇ and ∇' are equivalent if there is an R-linear automorphism φ of M such that diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd} M \arrow[r, "\nabla_D"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"] & M \arrow[d, "\varphi"] \\ M \arrow[r, "\nabla'_D"] & M \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes for all $D \in \mathsf{g}$.

On may consider the category of modules with g-connections, see Section 1 in Eriksen and Gustavsen [6]. Then $\nabla \sim \nabla'$ if and only if (M, ∇) and (M, ∇') are isomorphic in this category.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a reduced complete local noetherian k-algebra with residue field k, and let (M, ∇) be a rank one torsion free finitely generated R-module with an integrable g-connection. Then there is a bijective correspondence between $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, \overline{R})$ and the set of equivalence classes of integrable g-connections on M.*

Proof. Let $\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathsf{g}, \overline{R})$. We claim that $\nabla - \tau$ is an integrable g-connection if and only if τ is a 1-cocycle in $\mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, \overline{R})$. By definition, $d^1(\tau)(D_1 \wedge D_2) = \overline{\nabla}_{D_1}\tau(D_2) - \overline{\nabla}_{D_2}\tau(D_1) - \tau([D_1, D_2])$ and therefore

$$K_{\nabla - \tau}(D_1 \wedge D_2) = K_{\nabla}(D_1 \wedge D_2) + d^1(\tau)(D_1 \wedge D_2) + [\tau(D_1), \tau(D_2)].$$

Since $\overline{R}$ is commutative, $[\tau(D_1), \tau(D_2)] = 0$ and this proves the claim.

The correspondence between $H^1(\mathsf{g}, \overline{R})$ and equivalence classes of integrable g-connections is induced by $\tau \mapsto \nabla - \tau$. We must show that $\tau \in \operatorname{im} d^0$ if and only if ∇ and $\nabla - \tau$ are equivalent.

Assume $\tau = d^0(\phi)$, where $\phi \in \mathrm{End}_R(M) \cong \mathrm{C}^0_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, \overline{R})$. This means that $\tau(D) = \overline{\nabla}_D(\phi)$ for all $D \in \mathsf{g}$. We claim that there exists an automorphism $\psi \in \overline{R}$ such that $\psi\nabla_D = (\nabla_D - \tau(D))\psi$ for all $D \in \mathsf{g}$. In fact, we have $\tau(D) = D(\phi)$ and $\nabla_D\psi - \psi\nabla_D = D(\psi)$ by Proposition 3.1, so $\psi\nabla_D = (\nabla_D - \tau(D))\psi$ if and only if $D(\psi) = D(\phi)\psi$. Since $\overline{R}$ is is a finitely generated R-module, $\overline{R}/\mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$ is an artinian ring. We have that $J \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$ where J is the Jacobsen radical in $\overline{R}$, and it follows that $J^n \subseteq \mathfrak{m}\overline{R}$ for some n. Thus $\overline{R}$ is complete in the J-adic topology. It follows that $\overline{R}$ is a product of complete local rings with residue field k. We have $k^r \subset \overline{R}$ and $\overline{R}/J \cong k^r$ for some r. If e is any idempotent and D a k-linear derivation, it follows that $D(e) = 0$. Hence $d^0(k^r) = 0$ and we may assume that $\tau = D(\phi)$ for $\phi \in J$. It follows that $\psi = \exp(\phi)$ is in $\overline{R}$ and $\psi\nabla_D = (\nabla_D - \tau(D))\psi$.

Conversely, assume that there is an automorphism $\psi \in \overline{R}$ such that $\psi\nabla_D = (\nabla_D - \tau(D))\psi$ for all $D \in \mathsf{g}$. Since ψ is a unit, we can take $\phi = \log(\psi)$, and by an argument similar to the one above $\phi \in \overline{R}$. This implies $\tau = d^0(\phi)$. □

4. The curve case

In this section we assume that R is reduced noetherian k-algebra of dimension one, and consider in some detail the case when R is a monomial curve.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathsf{g} \subseteq \mathrm{Der}_k(R)$ be a Lie-Rinehart algebra and let M be a torsion free R-module. Then any g-connection on M is integrable.*

Proof. If $\dim R = 1$, one has that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\wedge^2 \mathsf{g}, \mathrm{End}_R(M)) = 0$ when $\mathsf{g} \subset \mathrm{Der}_k(R)$ since $\mathrm{End}_R(M)$ is torsion free and $\wedge^2 \mathsf{g} \subseteq \wedge^2 \mathrm{Der}_k(R)$ is a torsion module. If there exists a connection ∇ on M, the curvature, which is an R-linear map $K_\nabla : \mathsf{g} \wedge \mathsf{g} \to \mathrm{End}_R(M)$, is necessarily zero. Thus the connection is automatically integrable. □

Let $R = k[\Gamma]$ be a monomial curve singularity given by a numerical semigroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$, where $H = \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \Gamma$ is a finite set. We consider a finitely generated graded torsion free R-module M of rank one. Up to graded isomorphism and a shift, we may assume that M has the form $M = k[\Lambda]$, where Λ is a set such that $\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\Gamma + \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$. Let $\Gamma^{(1)} = \{w \in H : w + (\Gamma \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq \Gamma\}$ and let E be the Euler derivation. Then the set $\{E\} \cup \{t^w E : w \in \Gamma^{(1)}\}$ is a minimal generating set for $\mathsf{g} = \mathrm{Der}_k(R)$ as a left R-module, see Eriksen [4].

Theorem 4.2. *Let $R = k[\Gamma]$ be a monomial curve singularity given by a numerical semigroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$, where $\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \Gamma$ is a finite set, and let M be a finitely generated graded torsion free R-module of rank one. If ∇ is a connection on M, then*

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), M, \nabla) = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 1.$$

Proof. Let $\mathsf{g} = \mathrm{Der}_k(R)$. Consider the set $S = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : \lambda + \Gamma^{(1)} \not\subseteq \Lambda\}$ and let l be the cardinality of S. There are three possibilities:

1. $l = 0$: $\nabla_E = E - c$ defines an integrable connection on M for all $c \in k$.
2. $l = 1$: $\nabla_E = E - c$ defines an integrable connection on M iff $c = \lambda_0$ is the unique element in S.
3. $l \geq 2$: there are no connections on M

Assume $l \leq 1$ and consider the connection given by $\nabla_E = E - c$, where $c \in k$ if $l = 0$ and $c = \lambda_0$ if $l = 1$. Let $r : \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathsf{g}, M) \to M$ be given by $\phi \mapsto \phi(E)$. Then the composition

$$M \xrightarrow{d^0} \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathsf{g}, M) \xrightarrow{r} M$$

is the operator ∇_E. We claim that r is injective with image $k[\Lambda \setminus \{c\}] \subseteq M$. In fact, if $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathsf{g}, M)$ with $\phi(E) = 0$, then $\phi(t^w E) = t^w \phi(E) = 0$ since M is torsion free. Therefore r is injective. Consider t^λ with $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{c\}$. Since $\lambda \notin S$, we have that $\Gamma^{(1)} + \lambda \subseteq \Lambda$. Therefore, $\phi(E) = t^\lambda$ and $\phi(t^w E) = t^w t^\lambda$ for $w \in \Gamma^{(1)}$ defines a well-defined R-linear map $\phi : \mathsf{g} \to M$. Moreover, we clearly have $t^c \notin \mathrm{im}(r)$. Since r is a graded homomorphism, this proves that $\mathrm{im}(r) = k[\Lambda \setminus \{c\}] \subseteq M$. If $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathsf{g}, M)$ is homogenous of degree w, then $\phi(E) \in M$ is homogenous of degree w and $w \neq c$. This implies that $d^0(\phi(E)/(w - c)) = \phi$ and therefore d^0 is surjective. We conclude that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, M) = 0$. Since $\mathrm{C}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathsf{g}, M, \nabla) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(\wedge^i \mathsf{g}, M) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$, $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), M, \nabla) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. □

Given a graded torsion free R-module M of rank one on a monomial curve R, it does not necessarily exist a connection on M, see Section 5.2 in Eriksen and Gustavsen [6]. However, if there exists a connection, it is integrable and unique up to analytic isomorphism by Theorem 3.4 and the proposition above.

5. The case of an isolated normal singularity

In this section, we assume that R is a noetherian Cohen-Macaulay domain over k of dimension $d \geq 2$ with a unique isolated singularity. For any finitely generated torsion free R-module M of rank one, $\overline{R} = \mathrm{End}_R(M) = R$ from Proposition 3.1

since R is normal by Serre's normality criterion. Moreover, if M admits a connection ∇, then the induced connection $\overline{\nabla}$ on $\overline{R}$ is the standard action of $\mathrm{Der}_k(R)$ on R.

From Proposition 3.2, we know that $\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$ contains the obstruction for the existence of an integrable connection on M. If it vanishes, then it follows from Theorem 3.4 that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$ is a moduli space for the integrable connections on M, up to analytic equivalence.

When $k = \mathbb{C}$ is the complex numbers, there are also other interpretations of the k-vector spaces $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$ and $\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$: In this section, we will relate the Lie-Rinehart cohomology $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$ to the topological cohomology $\mathrm{H}^*(U_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C})$ where $U = X \setminus \{x\}$, $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and $x \in X$ is the singular point. The Lie-Rinehart cohomology $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$ is also closely related to the punctured deRham cohomology of Huang, Luk and Yau [10] and therefore to the μ and τ invariants.

Theorem 5.1. *Let R be a finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay domain over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ with a unique isolated singularity $x \in X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$. Then there is a natural exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R), R) \to \mathrm{H}^1(U_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C}) \to E_2^{0,1} \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R), R) \to \mathrm{H}^2(U_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C})$$

where $E_2^{0,1} = \ker(\mathrm{H}^1(U, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \mathrm{H}^1(U, \Omega^1_X))$ and $U = X \setminus \{x\}$. Moreover, if $d \geq 3$, then $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R), R) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(U_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R), R) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(U_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The definition of the Lie-Rinehart complex generalizes to give a complex $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\Theta_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of sheaves on X, given by

$$\mathcal{C}^n_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\Theta_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\wedge^n \Theta_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

with the natural action of the tangent sheaf Θ_X on $\mathcal{O}_X$. In particular, there is a restricted complex $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}|_U = \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\Theta_U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ of sheaves on U. Denote by $\mathbb{H}^i = \mathbb{H}^i(U, \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}|_U)$ the hypercohomology of the sheafified Lie-Rinehart complex, see for instance 5.7.9 in Weibel [16]. From the five term sequence, we get

$$0 \to E_2^{1,0} \to \mathbb{H}^1 \to E_2^{0,1} \to E_2^{2,0} \to \mathbb{H}^2$$

where $E_2^{p,q} = {}^{I}E_2^{p,q} \cong \mathrm{H}^p(\mathrm{H}^q(U, \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}|_U))$. Consider in particular the vector spaces $E_2^{p,0} = \mathrm{H}^p(\mathrm{H}^0(U, \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}|_U))$. Since $\mathcal{C}^p_{\mathrm{Rin}}|_U$ is sheaf of reflexive modules for $p \geq 0$ by Corollary 1.2 in Hartshorne [9], we get from Proposition 1.6.(iii) in [9] that $\mathrm{H}^0(U, \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}|_U) = \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R), R)$. Thus $E_2^{p,0} \cong \mathrm{H}^p_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R), R)$. Note further that since U is smooth, $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}|_U$ coincides with the deRham complex, so by Grothendieck's algebraic deRham theorem, $\mathbb{H}^i = \mathbb{H}^i(U, \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}|_U) \cong \mathrm{H}^i(U_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{C})$, see [8]. On the other hand, we see that

$$E_2^{0,1} = \mathrm{H}^0(\mathrm{H}^1(U, \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}|_U)) \cong \ker(\mathrm{H}^1(U, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \mathrm{H}^1(U, \Omega^1_X)).$$

For the last part, we notice that $\mathrm{H}^1(U, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathrm{H}^2_{\{x\}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$, where the last group is the local cohomology with respect to the closed subscheme $\{x\}$, see for instance 4.6.2 in Weibel [16]. By Corollary 4.6.9 in [16], this group vanishes if $d \geq 3$. In particular, it follows that $E_2^{0,1} = 0$ in this case. □

For surface singularities, it is in general difficult to compute $E_2^{0,1}$ directly. We have the following partial results:

Remark 5.2. For a rational complex surface singularity with link L, it is known that $\mathrm{H}^1(L,\mathbb{C}) = 0$, see Mumford [14], and by Poincarè duality, $\mathrm{H}^2(L,\mathbb{C}) = 0$. For simplicity, we assume that R is quasi-homogenous (for instance a quotient singularity). In this case, we have $\mathrm{H}^i(U_{\mathrm{an}},\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{H}^i(L,\mathbb{C}) = 0$ for $i = 1$ and 2. Thus $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R),R) = 0$ and $E_2^{0,1} \cong \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R),R)$.

Remark 5.3. For simple elliptic complex surface singularities, Kahn has shown that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}(R),R) \cong \mathbb{C}$, see [12]. One the other hand, $\mathrm{H}^1(U_{\mathrm{an}},\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^2$, so $E_2^{0,1} \neq 0$ in this case.

Let us consider the punctured local holomorphic de Rham cohomology $H^i_h(V,x)$ introduced in Huang, Luk and Yau [10] for a germ (V,x) of a complex analytic space. In fact, for a hypersurface singularity R of dimension $d \geq 2$, $H^i_h(V,x)$ coincides with $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R),R)$ for $i \geq 1$, see Lemma 2.7 in [10] and Proposition 1.6.(iii) in Hartshorne [9]. The following result follows from the main theorem in Huang, Luk and Yau [10]:

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that* $R = \mathbb{C}[[x_0,\dots,x_d]]/(f)$ *and that* R *is an isolated singularity of dimension* $d \geq 2$. *Then*

1. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R),R) = 0$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq d-2$,
2. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^d_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R),R) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^{d-1}_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R),R) = \mu - \tau$,

where μ *is the Milnor number and* τ *is the Tjurina number.*

Corollary 5.5. *If* $d \geq 3$, *then* $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R),R) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(U_{\mathrm{an}},\mathbb{C}) = 0$ *and if* $d \geq 4$, *then* $\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R),R) = 0$.

6. The case of a quasi-homogenous surface

In this section, we compute the Lie-Rinehart cohomology $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R),R)$ in the case of a integral quasi-homogenous surface singularity $R = k[x_1,x_2,x_3]/(f)$. We write $d_i = \deg x_i$ for $i = 1,2,3$, $d = \deg f \geq 2$ and put $\omega_i = d_i/d$, $\delta = \omega_1+\omega_2+\omega_3-1$.

The Lie-Rinehart complex $\mathrm{C}^* = \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R),R)$ in the present case is given as

$$\mathrm{C}^0 = R \xrightarrow{d^0} \mathrm{C}^1 = \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Der}_k(R),R) \xrightarrow{d^1} \mathrm{C}^2 = \mathrm{Hom}_R(\wedge^2 \mathrm{Der}_k(R),R) \to 0$$

since $\wedge^3 \mathrm{Der}_k(R)$ is supported at the singular locus of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. The map d^0 is given by $d^0(r)(D) = D(r)$ for $r \in R$, $D \in \mathrm{Der}_k(R)$ and d^1 is given by

$$d^1(\varphi)((D_1 \wedge D_2)) = D_1(\varphi(D_2)) - D_2(\varphi(D_1)) - \varphi([D_1,D_2])$$

for $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Der}_k(R),R)$ and $D_1, D_2 \in \mathrm{Der}_k(R)$, Note that $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R),R) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$.

It is clear that $\mathrm{C}^1 = \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Der}_k(R),R)$ and $\mathrm{C}^2 = \mathrm{Hom}_R(\wedge^2 \mathrm{Der}_k(R),R)$ are graded, and that d^0 and d^1 are homogenous of degree zero. It is further well-known that $\mathrm{Der}_k(R)$ is naturally generated by the Euler derivation E (homogenous of degree 0) and the Kozul derivations D_1, D_2, D_3 (homogenous of degree $d-d_1-d_2$, $d-d_1-d_3$, $d-d_2-d_3$ respectively), given by

$$E = \omega_1 x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \omega_2 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \omega_3 x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \qquad D_1 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2},$$

$$D_2 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \qquad D_3 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$$

To give a description of $C^1 = \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Der}_k(R), R)$, we define

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & 0 \\ \omega_2 x_2 & -\omega_1 x_1 & 0 & f_3 \\ \omega_3 x_3 & 0 & -\omega_1 x_1 & -f_2 \\ 0 & \omega_3 x_3 & -\omega_2 x_2 & f_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 x_1 & f_2 & f_3 & 0 \\ \omega_2 x_2 & -f_1 & 0 & f_3 \\ \omega_3 x_3 & 0 & -f_1 & -f_2 \\ 0 & \omega_3 x_3 & -\omega_2 x_2 & \omega_1 x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $f_i = \partial f / \partial x_i$.

Lemma 6.1. *The matrices* (φ, ψ) *give a matrix factorization of* $\operatorname{Der}_k(R)$ *and the transposed matrices* (φ^T, ψ^T) *give a matrix factorization of* $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Der}_k(R), R)$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 1.5 in Yoshino and Kawamoto [17] and Proposition 2.1 in Behnke [1]. For the last part see for instance Lemma 11 in Eriksen and Gustavsen [6]. □

Mapping $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Der}_k(R), R)$ into R^4 by evaluation on (E, D_1, D_2, D_3), we obtain the rows $\psi^{(i)}$ in ψ as generators for $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Der}(R), R)$ in R^4. We see that $\deg \psi^{(i)} = d_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $\deg \psi^{(4)} = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 - d = d\delta$.

To give a description of $\mathrm{C}^2 = \operatorname{Hom}_R(\wedge^2 \operatorname{Der}_k(R), R)$, we consider the element $\Delta = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$ of degree $d - d_1 - d_2 - d_3 = -d\delta$. A calculation gives

$$E \wedge D_1 = \omega_3 x_3 \Delta, \qquad E \wedge D_2 = -\omega_2 x_2 \Delta, \qquad E \wedge D_3 = \omega_1 x_1 \Delta,$$
$$D_1 \wedge D_2 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \Delta, \qquad D_1 \wedge D_3 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \Delta, \qquad D_2 \wedge D_3 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} \Delta,$$

and we conclude that $\wedge^2 \operatorname{Der}_k(R) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)\Delta$. From this, we get the following isomorphisms of graded modules:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(\wedge^2 \operatorname{Der}_k(R), R) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}\Delta, R) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(R\Delta, R) \cong R[-\deg \Delta].$$

We compute the map d^1 and get

$$d^1(r\psi^{(1)})(E \wedge D_3) = E(r\psi^{(1)}) - D_3(r\psi^{(1)}(E)) - r\psi^{(1)}([E, D_3]) = -\omega_1 x_1 D_3(r)$$
$$d^1(r\psi^{(2)})(E \wedge D_2) = E(r\psi^{(2)}) - D_2(r\psi^{(2)})(E) - r\psi^{(2)}([E, D_2]) = -\omega_2 x_2 D_2(r)$$
$$d^1(r\psi^{(3)})(E \wedge D_1) = E(r\psi^{(3)}) - D_1(r\psi^{(3)})(E) - r\psi^{(3)}([E, D_1]) = -\omega_3 x_3 D_1(r)$$
$$d^1(r\psi^{(4)})(E \wedge D_1) = E(r\psi^{(4)}) - D_1(r\psi^{(4)})(E) - r\psi^{(4)}([E, D_1]) = \omega_3 x_3 (E(r) + \delta r)$$

using

$$[E, D_1] = (1 - \omega_1 - \omega_2) D_1, \quad [E, D_2] = (1 - \omega_1 - \omega_3) D_2, \quad [E, D_3] = (1 - \omega_2 - \omega_3) D_3$$

From this we conclude that

$$d^1(r\psi^{(1)})(\Delta) = -D_3(r) \qquad d^1(r\psi^{(2)})(\Delta) = D_2(r)$$
$$d^1(r\psi^{(3)})(\Delta) = -D_1(r) \qquad d^1(r\psi^{(4)})(\Delta) = E(r) + \delta r,$$

and in conclusion we have reached a very concrete description of the Lie-Rinehart complex $\mathrm{C}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\operatorname{Der}_k(R), R)$. Using this, we are able to prove the following result:

Theorem 6.2. *Let $R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(f)$ be an integral quasi-homogenous surface singularity. Then the grading on R induces a grading on $\mathrm{H}^*_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$, and*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) = \mathrm{H}^0_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)_0 \cong R_0 = k\\
&\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) = \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)_0 \cong R_{d-d_1-d_2-d_3} \text{ for } i = 1, 2\\
&\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 3,
\end{aligned}$$

as graded k-vector space, where $d_i = \deg x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $d = \deg f \geq 2$.

Proof. For simplicity, we write $\mathrm{H}^i = \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$ for $i \geq 0$. We have that $\mathrm{H}^0 = \{r \in R : D(r) = 0 \ \forall D \in \mathrm{Der}_k(R)\}$. If $r \in \mathrm{H}^0_\omega$, then $E(r) = \omega r = 0$ implies that $\omega = 0$ or $r = 0$. Thus $\mathrm{H}^0 = R_0 = k$.

We have that $\mathrm{H}^2 = \operatorname{coker} d^1 = \mathrm{C}^2 / \operatorname{im} d^1 \cong R[-d\delta]/ \operatorname{im} d^1$ and $\operatorname{im} d^1$ is spanned by $D_i(r)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $E(r) + \delta r = (\frac{\deg r}{d} + \delta)r$ as r runs through all homogenous elements in R. Since $\deg D_i = -d\delta + d_i$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, it follows that $D_i(r) \in R_{-d\delta+d_i+\deg r} = \mathrm{C}^2_{d_i+\deg r}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Furthermore, $\frac{\deg r}{d} + \delta = 0$ if and only if $\deg r = -\delta d$. We conclude that $\operatorname{im} d^1 = \mathrm{C}^2_{\neq 0}$, and hence $\mathrm{H}^2 = \mathrm{C}^2_0 \cong R_{d-d_1-d_2-d_2}$.

To compute H^1, we note that $\operatorname{im} d^0_0 = 0$ and $\ker d^1_0 \cong R_{-d\delta} \cdot \psi^{(4)}$ by the argument above. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^1_0 \cong R_{-d\delta} \cdot \psi^{(4)} \cong R_{d-d_1-d_2-d_2}$. We claim that $\mathrm{H}^1_\omega = 0$ for $\omega \neq 0$. To prove the claim, we first note that since $\mathrm{H}^2_\omega = 0$ for $\omega \neq 0$, it follows that d^1_ω induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{C}^1_\omega / \ker d^1_\omega \cong \mathrm{C}^2_\omega$ for $\omega \neq 0$. Also, $\operatorname{im} d^0_\omega \cong \mathrm{C}^0_\omega$ for $\omega \neq 0$. Thus

$$\dim_k \mathrm{H}^1_\omega = \dim_k \ker d^1_\omega - \dim_k \operatorname{im} d^0_\omega = \dim_k \mathrm{C}^1_\omega - \dim_k \mathrm{C}^2_\omega - \dim_k \mathrm{C}^0_\omega$$

for $\omega \neq 0$. To compute these dimensions, recall the Auslander sequence

$$0 \to \omega_R \to E \to \mathfrak{m} \to 0$$

where ω_R is the canonical module, E is the fundamental module and $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal graded ideal of R. From (the proof of) Proposition 2.1 in Behnke [1], we have that $\omega_R \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(\wedge^2 \mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) = \mathrm{C}^2$ and $E \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) = \mathrm{C}^1$ as graded modules, since R is quasi-homogenous, see also Lemma 1.2 in Yoshino and Kawamoto [17]. Since there are homogenous isomorphisms $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(\mathfrak{m}, \omega_R) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^2_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \omega_R) \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$ of degree zero, see Definition 3.6.8, Example 3.6.10 and Proposition 3.6.12 in Bruns and Herzog [2], it follows that the Auslander sequence is homogenous of degree zero. Thus $\dim \mathrm{C}^1_\omega = \dim \mathrm{C}^2_\omega + \dim \mathrm{C}^0_\omega$ for $\omega \neq 0$. This proves the claim that $\mathrm{H}^1_\omega = 0$ for $\omega \neq 0$. □

Remark 6.3. It follows from Theorem 5.4 that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) \cong \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$ when $R \cong k[x_1, x_2 x_3]/(f)$ is a quasi-homogenous surface singularity, since it is known that $\mu = \tau$ in the quasi-homogenous case. From Theorem 6.2 it follows that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) \cong \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$ as graded k-vector spaces.

Remark 6.4. We see that all cohomology is consentrated in degree 0 in the case covered by the theorem. It follows from the proof of Proposition 3.2 that integrability class $\mathrm{ic}(M)$ lies in $\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)_0$ for any graded torsion free rank one module M. Moreover, if $\mathrm{ic}(M) = 0$, it follows form the proof of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 6.2 that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)_0$ is a moduli space for integrable connections. Hence up to analytic equivalence, all integrable connections are homogenous.

Example 6.5. We consider the singularity $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(f)$, where f is homogenous of degree d, with $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = 1$. Then $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) \cong R_{d-1-1-1} = R_{d-3}$ so that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) = \binom{d-3+2}{d-3} = \binom{d-1}{d-3} = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. This number is the genus of the curve $V(f)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$, and therefore also the genus the exceptional curve in the minimal resolution of R.

Example 6.6. The minimally elliptic singularity $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(x_1^3 + x_2^4 + x_3^4)$ has $d = 12, d_1 = 4, d_2 = d_3 = 3$, so $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) \cong R_{12-4-3-3} = R_2 = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Corollary 6.7. *Let $R = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(f)$ be an integral quasi-homogeneous surface singularity, and let M be any finitely generated torsion free graded R-module of rank one. Then any homogenous connection on M is integrable.*

Proof. Let ∇ be an arbitrary homogenous connection on M, and let

$$0 \leftarrow M \leftarrow L_0 \xleftarrow{d_0} L_1$$

be a graded presentation of M, where $\{e_i\}$ and $\{f_i\}$ are homogeneous bases of L_0 and L_1, and $d_0 = (a_{ij})$ is the matrix of d_0 with respect to these bases. Then we have $\deg(a_{ij}) = \deg(f_j) - \deg(e_i)$ for all i, j. We consider the diagonal matrix P with entries $\epsilon_j = (\deg(e_j) - \deg(e_1))/d$ on the diagonal. Since we have

$$E(d_0) = \frac{1}{d}\deg(a_{ij})(a_{ij}) = \frac{1}{d}(\deg(f_j) - \deg(e_i))(a_{ij}),$$

we see that $E(d_0) + Pd_0 = d_0 Q$ for some $Q \in \mathrm{End}_R(L_1)$. Therefore, $\nabla'_E = E + P \in \mathrm{End}_k(L_0)$ induces an operator $\nabla'_E \in \mathrm{End}_k(M)$ such that $\nabla'_E(rm) = E(r)m + r\nabla'_E(m)$ for all $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. Since $\nabla_E - \nabla'_E \in \mathrm{End}_R(M)_0 = R_0 = k$, it follows that $\nabla_E = E + P + \lambda I$ for some $\lambda \in k$.

We claim that the curvature $K_\nabla = 0$. Since $K_\nabla \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(\wedge^2 \mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$, it follows from the calculations preceding Theorem 6.2 that it is enough to show that $K_\nabla(E \wedge D_1) = 0$. We also have $[E, D_1] = \frac{1}{d}(d - d_1 - d_2)D_1$. Write $\nabla_{D_1} = D_1 + Q$, where $Q = (q_{ij}) \in \mathrm{End}_R(L_0)$ and $\deg(q_{ij}) = \deg(e_j) - \deg(e_i) + (d - d_1 - d_2)$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} K_\nabla(E \wedge D_1) &= \nabla_E \nabla_{D_1} - \nabla_{D_1}\nabla_E - \nabla_{[E,D_1]} \\ &= (E + P + \lambda I)(D_1 + Q) - (D_1 + Q)(E + P + \lambda I) \\ &- \frac{1}{d}(d - d_1 - d_2)(D_1 + Q) \\ &= E(Q) - D_1(P + \lambda I) + [P + \lambda I, Q] - \frac{1}{d}(d - d_1 - d_2)Q \\ &= E(Q) + [P, Q] - \frac{1}{d}(d - d_1 - d_2)Q \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation gives $[P, Q] = (-\frac{1}{d})(\deg(e_j) - \deg(e_i))(q_{ij})$, and we clearly have $E(Q) = \frac{1}{d}(d - d_1 - d_2)Q + \frac{1}{d}(\deg(e_j) - \deg(e_i))(q_{ij})$. □

Example 6.8. Let $R = k[x, y, z]/(x^3 + y^3 + z^3)$. The module M with presentation matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & -y^2 + yz - z^2 \\ y + z & x^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay of rank one, see Laza et al [13]. The derivation module $\mathrm{Der}_k(R)$ is generated by the four derivations

$$E = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \qquad D_1 = 3y^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 3x^2\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$D_2 = 3z^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 3x^2\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \qquad D_3 = 3z^2\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 3y^2\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

where E is the Euler derivation and D_1, D_2 and D_3 are the Kozul derivations. Using our Singular [7] library CONNECTIONS.LIB [5], we find that a connection is represented by

$$\nabla_E = E + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \nabla_{D_1} = D_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2x \\ -2y+z & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\nabla_{D_2} = D_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2x \\ y-2z & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \nabla_{D_3} = D_3 + \begin{pmatrix} -2y+2z & 0 \\ 0 & y-z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again using [5], we check that this is an integrable connection. Further one finds that the connection represented by

$$\nabla'_E = E + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \nabla'_{D_1} = D_1 + \begin{pmatrix} xz & 2x \\ -2y+z & xz \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\nabla'_{D_2} = D_2 + \begin{pmatrix} -xy & 2x \\ x^2+y-2z & xz \end{pmatrix} \qquad \nabla'_{D_3} = D_3 + \begin{pmatrix} x^2-2y+2z & 0 \\ 0 & x^2+y-z \end{pmatrix}$$

is not integrable.

The integrability class $\mathrm{ic}(M) = 0$ in $\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R)$ which means that ∇' becomes integrable after removing terms of degree different from zero. In fact, we see that this gives ∇.

We also find that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{Rin}}(\mathrm{Der}_k(R), R) \cong k$, which means that there is a one parameter family of integrable connections on M.

REFERENCES

1. Kurt Behnke, *On Auslander modules of normal surface singularities*, Manuscripta Math. **66** (1989), no. 2, 205–223. MR MR1027308 (90k:14031)
2. Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR MR1251956 (95h:13020)
3. J. M. Casas, M. Ladra, and T. Pirashvili, *Triple cohomology of Lie-Rinehart algebras and the canonical class of associative algebras*, J. Algebra **291** (2005), no. 1, 144–163. MR MR2158515 (2006f:17020)
4. Eivind Eriksen, *Differential operators on monomial curves*, J. Algebra **264** (2003), no. 1, 186–198. MR MR1980691 (2004i:16035)
5. Eivind Eriksen and Trond Stølen Gustavsen, CONNECTIONS.LIB, A SINGULAR library to compute obstructions for existence of connections on modules, 2006, Available at `http://home.hio.no/~eeriksen/connections.html`.
6. Eivind Eriksen and Trond Stølen Gustavsen, *Connections on modules over singularities of finite CM representation type.*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212** (2008), no. 7, 1561–1574.
7. G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann, SINGULAR *3.0*, A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations, Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern, 2005, `http://www.singular.uni-kl.de`.
8. A. Grothendieck, *On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1966), no. 29, 95–103. MR MR0199194 (33 #7343)
9. Robin Hartshorne, *Stable reflexive sheaves*, Math. Ann. **254** (1980), no. 2, 121–176. MR MR597077 (82b:14011)

10. Xiaojun Huang, Hing Sun Luk, and Stephen S.-T. Yau, *Punctured local holomorphic de Rham cohomology*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **55** (2003), no. 3, 633–640. MR MR1978213 (2004f:32037)
11. Johannes Huebschmann, *Duality for Lie-Rinehart algebras and the modular class*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **510** (1999), 103–159. MR MR1696093 (2000f:53109)
12. Constantin P. M. Kahn, *Reflexive Moduln auf einfach-elliptischen Flächensingularitäten*, Bonner Mathematische Schriften [Bonn Mathematical Publications], 188, Universität Bonn Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 1988, Dissertation, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität, Bonn, 1988. MR MR930666 (90h:14045)
13. Radu Laza, Gerhard Pfister, and Dorin Popescu, *Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over the cone of an elliptic curve*, J. Algebra **253** (2002), no. 2, 209–236. MR MR1929188 (2003k:13012)
14. David Mumford, *The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surface and a criterion for simplicity*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1961), no. 9, 5–22. MR 27 #3643
15. George S. Rinehart, *Differential forms on general commutative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 195–222. MR MR0154906 (27 #4850)
16. Charles A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR MR1269324 (95f:18001)
17. Yuji Yoshino and Takuji Kawamoto, *The fundamental module of a normal local domain of dimension* 2, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **309** (1988), no. 1, 425–431. MR MR957079 (89h:13033)

OSLO UNIVERSITY COLLEGE
E-mail address: `eeriksen@hio.no`

NORWEGIAN SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT
E-mail address: `trond.s.gustavsen@bi.no`